





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,452	09/20/2001	Olivier Dovergne	20982-27	6599
7	590 10/06/2003	EXAMINER		
Oppenheimer	Wolff & Donnelly LLP	PHILOGENE, PEDRO		
Suite 3800 2029 Century Park East			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, CA 90067			3732	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003	1/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		.	
. •	Application No.	Applicant(s)	AV V
	09/960,452	DOVERGNE ET A	AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Pedro Philogene	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No e, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timel ### ### #############################	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	September 2001 .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde			ne merits is
Disposition of Claims	_		
4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ro rojected		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-12,15,18-20,30 and 33-42</u> is/a			
7) Claim(s) 4,13,14,16,17,21-29,31 and 32 is/an	-		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers	or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acco		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examir	ner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ⊠ None of:			
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documer	its have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	its have been received in	n Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Stage
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language present 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 	• •		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT	

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 28, line 4, the term "the adapter piece" lacks prior antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3,5-12,15,18-20,30,35-42 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Laporte (6,286,518).

With respect to claim 1, Laporte discloses a device (1) for applying a substance to the hair, the device comprising an applicator portion (3) and a receptacle (2) on which the applicator portion is fixed, the receptacle being suitable for containing the substance to be applied, the applicator portion having at least one delivery orifice (7) enabling the substance to be delivered, wherein the applicator portion has teeth (5,6) arranged around a closed curve, the gap between the teeth being such that they allow hairs to

Art Unit: 3732

pass between the teeth while enabling the substance to be retained within the curve; as set forth in column 3, lines 10-20.

With respect to claims 2-3,5-12,15,18-20,30-35-42, Laporte discloses all the limitations, as set forth in columns 2-3, lines 1-67; and as best seen in FIGS.1-4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 33,34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laporte (6,286,518) in view of Diaz (5,937,864).

With respect to claims 33,34, it is noted that Laporte did not teach of an applicator wherein the receptacle includes a removable cap at an end opposite from the applicator portion; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Diaz evidences the use of a device having a cap at an end opposite from the applicator portion for preventing the removal of the liquid and to stand on the plane surface.

Therefore, given the teaching of Diaz, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a cap in the device of Laporte, as taught by Diaz for preventing the removal of the liquid and to be able to stand the device on a plane surface.

Art Unit: 3732

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4,13,14,16,17,2 -29,31,32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

6,457,476	10-2002	Elmer et al.
6,588,433	07-2003	Asano
5,067,501	11-1991	Auger
5,755,241	05-1998	Cheung
4,966,175	10-1990	Kimura et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (703) 308-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3732

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Pedro Philogene September 30, 2003 PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMARY EXAMINER